


White paper

HP 3D HR PA 11, HP 3D HR PA 12 GB, and HP 3D HR TPA enabled by Evonik for the HP Jet Fusion 4200 3D Printing Solution

Dimensional Capability



Introduction

At HP, we are committed to providing part designers and part manufacturers with the technical information and resources needed to enable them to unlock the full potential of 3D printing and prepare them for the future era of digital manufacturing.

The aim of this whitepaper is to provide information on the dimensional capabilities that can be achieved with the HP Jet Fusion 4200 3D Printing Solution with HP 3D High Reusability (HR)¹ PA 11, HP 3D High Reusability (HR)² PA 12 Glass Beads (GB), and HP 3D High Reusability (HR) TPA enabled by Evonik.³

In this white paper, you will find:

- Tolerances in XY and Z for nominal dimensions ranging from 0 mm to 80 mm that can be achieved in the HP Jet Fusion 4200 3D Printing Solution, according to process capability index,
- A detailed explanation of the conditions under which these values were obtained,
- Additional information on the concept of process capability and dimensional tolerancing, and a glossary of key terms used.

HP Jet Fusion 4200 3D Printing Solution dimensional capability performance

Test jobs

The dimensional capability performance of the HP Jet Fusion 4200 Series 3D Printing Solution with HP 3D HR PA 11, and HP 3D HR PA 12 GB were characterized using the **HP dimensional capability characterization** job (Figure 1), which contained 122 diagnostic parts distributed throughout the printable volume. The job included three different types of diagnostic parts and a total of 1,524 dimensions.




Figure 1. HP dimensional capability characterization job

1. HP Jet Fusion 3D Printing Solutions using HP 3D High Reusability PA 11 provide up to 70% powder reusability ratio, producing functional parts batch after batch. For testing, material is aged in real printing conditions and powder is tracked by generations (worst case for reusability). Parts are then made from each generation and tested for mechanical properties and accuracies.
2. HP Jet Fusion 3D Printing Solutions using HP 3D High Reusability PA 12 Glass Beads provide up to 70% powder reusability ratio, producing functional parts batch after batch. For testing, material is aged in real printing conditions and powder is tracked by generations (worst case for reusability). Parts are then made from each generation and tested for mechanical properties and accuracy.
3. HP Jet Fusion 3D Printing Solutions using HP 3D High Reusability TPA enabled by Evonik provide up to 80% powder reusability ratio, producing functional parts batch after batch. For testing, material is aged in real printing conditions and powder is tracked by generations (worst case for reusability). Parts are then made from each generation and tested for mechanical properties and accuracy.

The dimensional capability performance with HP 3D HR TPA enabled by Evonik was characterized with a different job, **PQ_Dimensional_AC_2** (Figure 2), which contained 140 diagnostic parts distributed throughout the printable volume. The job included 11 different types of diagnostic parts, including vertice, middle, and edge parts used in the HP Dimensional job, as well as other parts such as tensile type I (ASTM-D638) specimens, and dimensional parts with different thicknesses.




Figure 2. PQ_Dimensional_AC_2 job

Performance results for HP 3D HR PA 11

Testing was performed for HP 3D HR PA 11 with a 30% refresh ratio using the PA 11 Balanced print profile, natural cooling, and measured after bead-blasting with glass beads at 5-6 bars.

Table 1 shows the dimensional tolerances obtained during the characterization for a target process capability of $C_{pk} = 1.33$ (4 sigma).

Tolerances for $C_{pk} = 1.33^{i,ii,iii}$ (in mm)	Nominal dimension					
	0 – 30 mm		30 – 50 mm		50 – 80 mm	
	XY	Z	XY	Z	XY	Z
With the default setting for HP Jet Fusion 4200 3D Printing Solution	±0.30	±0.42	±0.36	±0.62	± 0.44	± 1.18
<i>i. Based on internal testing and measured using the HP dimensional capability characterization job. Results may vary with other jobs and geometries.</i> <i>ii. Using HP 3D HR PA 11 material, 30% refresh ratio, Balanced print profile, natural cooling, and measured after bead-blasting with glass beads at 5-6 bars.</i> <i>iii. Following all HP recommended printer setup and adjustment processes and printheads aligned using semi-automatic procedure.</i>						

Table 1. Dimensional capabilities for HP 3D HR PA 11. Target process capability of $C_{pk} = 1.33$.

Table 2 shows the dimensional tolerances if the process capability target is set to $C_{pk} = 1.0$ (3 sigma).

Tolerances for $C_{pk} = 1.00^{i,ii,iii}$ (in mm)	Nominal dimension					
	0 – 30 mm		30 – 50 mm		50 – 80 mm	
	XY	Z	XY	Z	XY	Z
With the default setting for HP Jet Fusion 4200 3D Printing Solution	±0.26	±0.33	±0.31	±0.50	± 0.37	± 0.95
<i>i. Based on internal testing and measured using the HP dimensional capability characterization job. Results may vary with other jobs and geometries.</i> <i>ii. Using HP 3D HR PA 11 material, 30% refresh ratio, Balanced print profile, natural cooling, and measured after bead-blasting with glass beads at 5-6 bars.</i> <i>iii. Following all HP recommended printer setup and adjustment processes and printheads aligned using semi-automatic procedure.</i>						

Table 2. Dimensional capabilities for HP 3D HR PA 11. Target process capability of $C_{pk} = 1.00$.

Performance results for HP 3D HR PA 12 GB

Testing was performed for HP 3D HR PA 12 GB with a 30% refresh ratio using the PA 12 GB Balanced print profile, natural cooling, and measured after bead-blasting with glass beads at 5-6 bars.

Table 3 shows the dimensional tolerances obtained during the characterization for a target process capability of $C_{pk} = 1.33$ (4 sigma).

Tolerances for $C_{pk} = 1.33^{i,ii,iii}$ (in mm)	Nominal dimension					
	0 – 30 mm		30 – 50 mm		50 – 80 mm	
	XY	Z	XY	Z	XY	Z
With the default setting for HP Jet Fusion 4200 3D Printing Solution	±0.39	±0.68	±0.39	±0.81	± 0.39	± 1.2
<i>i. Based on internal testing and measured using the HP dimensional capability characterization job. Results may vary with other jobs and geometries.</i> <i>ii. Using HP 3D HR PA 12 Glass Beads material, 30% refresh ratio, PA12 Glass Beads Balanced print profile, natural cooling, and measured after bead-blasting with glass beads at 5-6 bars.</i> <i>iii. Following all HP recommended printer setup and adjustment processes and printheads aligned using semi-automatic procedure.</i>						

Table 3. Dimensional capabilities for HP 3D HR PA 12 Glass Beads. Target process capability of $C_{pk}=1.33$.

Table 4 shows the dimensional tolerances if the process capability target is set to $C_{pk} = 1.00$ (3 sigma).

Tolerances for $C_{pk} = 1.00^{i,ii,iii}$ (in mm)	Nominal dimension					
	0 – 30 mm		30 – 50 mm		50 – 80 mm	
	XY	Z	XY	Z	XY	Z
With the default setting for HP Jet Fusion 4200 3D Printing Solution	±0.32	±0.52	±0.32	±0.62	± 0.32	± 0.97
<i>i. Based on internal testing and measured using the HP dimensional capability characterization job. Results may vary with other jobs and geometries.</i> <i>ii. Using HP 3D HR PA 12 Glass Beads material, 30% refresh ratio, PA12 Glass Beads Balanced print profile, natural cooling, and measured after bead-blasting with glass beads at 5-6 bars.</i> <i>iii. Following all HP recommended printer setup and adjustment processes and printheads aligned using semi-automatic procedure.</i>						

Table 4. Dimensional capabilities for HP 3D HR PA 12 Glass Beads. Target process capability of $C_{pk}=1.00$.

Performance results for HP 3D HR TPA enabled by Evonik

Testing was performed for HP 3D HR TPA enabled by Evonik with a 20% refresh ratio using the TPA Balanced print profile, natural cooling, and measured after bead-blasting with glass beads at 5-6 bars.

Table 5 shows the dimensional tolerances obtained during the characterization for a target process capability of $C_{pk} = 1.33$ (4 sigma).

Tolerances for $C_{pk} = 1.33^{i,ii,iii}$ (in mm)	Nominal dimension					
	0 – 30 mm		30 – 50 mm		50 – 80 mm	
	XY	Z	XY	Z	XY	Z
With the default setting for the HP Jet Fusion 4200 3D Printing Solution	±0.36	±0.79	±0.44	±1.18	± 1.17	± 2.36
<i>i. Based on internal testing and measured using the PQ_Dimensional_AC_2 job. Results may vary with other jobs and geometries.</i> <i>ii. Using HP 3D HR TPA enabled by Evonik, 20% refresh ratio, TPA Balanced print profile, natural cooling, and measured after bead-blasting with glass beads at 5-6 bars.</i> <i>iii. Following all HP-recommended printer setup and adjustment processes and printheads aligned using semi-automatic procedure.</i>						

Table 5. Dimensional capabilities for HP 3D HR TPA enabled by Evonik. Target process capability of $C_{pk}=1.33$.

Table 6 shows the dimensional tolerances if the process capability target is set $C_{pk} = 1.00$ (3 sigma).

Tolerances for $C_{pk} = 1.00^{i,ii,iii}$ (in mm)	Nominal dimension					
	0 – 30 mm		30 – 50 mm		50 – 80 mm	
	XY	Z	XY	Z	XY	Z
With the default setting for HP Jet Fusion 4200 3D Printing Solution	±0.28	±0.59	±0.31	±0.94	± 0.92	± 1.94
<i>i. Based on internal testing and measured using the PQ_Dimensional_AC_2 job. Results may vary with other jobs and geometries.</i> <i>ii. Using HP 3D HR TPA enabled by Evonik, 20% refresh ratio, TPA Balanced print profile, natural cooling, and measured after bead-blasting with glass beads at 5-6 bars.</i> <i>iii. Following all HP-recommended printer setup and adjustment processes and printheads aligned using semi-automatic procedure.</i>						

Table 6. Dimensional capabilities for HP 3D HR TPA enabled by Evonik. Target process capability of $C_{pk}=1.00$.

Appendix 1: Understanding process capabilities

Process capability determines whether a process meets a specification. The process capability index or process capability ratio (C_{pk}) is a statistical measure of process capability. It quantifies the ability of a process to produce output within specification limits.

When talking about a dimensional specification, the C_{pk} measures the statistical probability that a certain process produces a dimension within its tolerance range. The higher the C_{pk} value the better, meaning that more measurements will be within the tolerance range.

For a process to be capable, it needs to be both **repeatable and accurate**.

Repeatability is how close multiple measurements are to each other (also called **precision**).

Accuracy is how close a measurement value is to the specified nominal.

The capability of a process is then a function of two parameters:

- How **repeatable** it is compared to the width of the specification limits, measured by the C_p
- How **accurate** it is, measured by the **bias**

$$Capability = C_{pk} = C_p * (1 - 2 * bias)$$




Figure 3. Relationship between bias and variability

This concept only holds meaning for processes that are in a state of statistical control with an output that is approximately normally distributed.

Both conditions happen when dealing with the dimensional quality control of HP MJF–produced parts where the output is the dimensional value of the different geometrical features of a part.

Dimensional quality control processes define an upper specification limit (USL) and lower specification limit (LSL), also called the “tolerance range” of the process. The target of the process is the center of this range, typically the nominal dimension value.

The objective to have a well-controlled dimensional process is to have its normal distributed population of measurements:

- With a variability (calculated as standard deviation) that “fits” in the tolerance range. C_p measures how well the variability fits within the tolerance range.
- With a mean (average) as close as possible to the target. The deviation is measured by the **bias**.

Only if both conditions are met, process capability measured by C_{pk} is considered good:




Figure 4. Process capability C_{pk} scenarios

The mathematical calculation of these parameters is as follows:

$$C_p = \frac{\text{Specification width}}{\text{Process width}} = \frac{(USL - LSL)}{6\sigma}$$

Standard deviation estimates the sigma and quantifies the variability and dispersion of the process.

C_p should always be greater than 1.00 for the variability to fit within the tolerance range.

$$C_{pk} = \min \left\{ \frac{[USL - \mu]}{3 \cdot \sigma}, \frac{[\mu - LSL]}{3 \cdot \sigma} \right\}$$

The statistical mean estimates the mu (μ).

Therefore:

- C_{pk} “measures” the distance of the mean to the closer specification limit, which could be the upper or the lower limit.
- C_{pk} takes into account how centered the process is ($C_{pk} \leq C_p$).
- For a perfectly centered process, $C_p = C_{pk}$.
- If $C_p > C_{pk}$, it is possible to increase the C_{pk} by readjusting the mean of the process.

Table 7 displays the relevant C_{pk} values and their correlation with process yields.

	C_{pk}	Sigma level	Dimensions within specs (%)	Dimensions out of specs (units per million)	Part yield for a part with 10 dimensions (%)	
100% inspection	0.33	1	68.27	317,300	2.20	
	0.67	2	95.45	45,500	62.77	
	1.00	3	99.73	2,700	97.33	
Statistical process control	1.33	4	99.9937	63	99.94	Desired
	1.50	5	99.99966	3.4	100	
	1.67	6	99.99997	0.6	100	

Table 7. C_{pk} and process yield correlation

For a part to be considered good, all the specified dimensions need to be within tolerances. Therefore, the part yield is a metric that can be calculated as the statistical sum of the single dimension success rate. In Table 7, an example for a part with 10 dimensions is shown in the right column.

For C_{pk} values below 1.00, the yield is such that the best quality control method is **100% inspection**, and the general fabrication process is to over-produce and send only the parts that meet the tolerance requirements. This is a costly but reasonable process, especially for low-volume production.

For C_{pk} values above 1.00 (3 sigma), the dimensional success rate and the yield begin to approach each other, and **statistical process control** starts to become a viable option. This means that after the process has demonstrated that it is statistically and consistently achieving C_{pk} above 1.00 for all dimensions, random parts could be audited for each lot of parts.

Generally, a C_{pk} of **1.33 (4 sigma) is desired** to ensure enough of a margin for statistical process control, especially when dealing with multi-part complex mechanisms.

Appendix 2: Dimensional requirements & IT grades

The International Tolerance grades (IT grades) defined in ISO 286/ ANSI B4.2-1978 provide standardized tolerance ranges. The smaller the IT grade, the smaller the tolerance range, meaning better dimensional performance (less variability).

Each IT grade has a tolerance range that varies depending on the nominal value of the dimension. The larger the specified dimension, the larger the tolerance range for accuracy.

Dimension (mm)		IT1	IT2	IT3	IT4	IT5	IT6	IT7	IT8	IT9	IT10	IT11	IT12	IT13	IT14	IT15
Above	Up to and including	µm											mm			
-	3	0.8	1.2	2	3	4	6	14	10	25	40	60	0.10	0.14	0.25	0.4
3	6	1	1.5	2.5	4	5	8	18	12	30	48	75	0.12	0.18	0.30	0.48
6	10	1	1.5	2.5	4	6	9	22	15	36	58	90	0.15	0.22	0.36	0.58
10	18	1.2	2	3	5	8	11	27	18	43	70	110	0.18	0.27	0.43	0.70
18	30	1.5	2.5	4	6	9	13	33	21	52	84	130	0.21	0.33	0.52	0.84
30	50	1.5	2.5	4	7	11	16	39	25	62	100	160	0.25	0.39	0.62	1.00
50	80	2	3	4	8	13	19	46	30	74	120	190	0.30	0.46	0.74	1.20
80	120	2.5	4	6	10	15	22	54	35	87	140	220	0.35	0.54	0.87	1.40
120	180	3.5	5	8	12	18	25	63	40	100	160	250	0.40	0.63	1.00	1.60
180	250	4.5	7	10	14	22	29	72	46	115	185	290	0.46	0.72	1.15	1.85
250	315	6	8	12	16	23	32	81	52	130	210	320	0.52	0.81	1.30	2.10
315	400	7	9	13	18	25	36	89	57	140	230	360	0.57	0.89	1.40	2.30
400	500	8	10	15	20	27	40	97	63	155	250	400	0.63	0.97	1.55	2.50
500	630	9	11	16	22	32	44	100	70	175	280	440	0.70	1.10	1.75	2.80

Table 8. Standard international tolerance grades




Figure 5. Tolerance range vs. dimension length

IT grades provide a standardized reference to compare typical manufacturing process capability in terms of dimensional tolerance for a given dimension, as shown in Table 9.

	Measuring tools										Material								
IT Grade	01	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	
											Fits			Large manufacturing tolerances					
Better	←																		

Table 9. IT Grades for measuring tools & materials

Appendix 3: Key terms

- **Process capability:** Statistical measurement of a process's ability to produce parts within specified limits on a consistent basis.
- **International Tolerance Grade (IT Grade):** Grade used to identify the tolerances a given industrial process can produce for a given dimension.
- **Repeatability:** Ability of a process to consistently produce the same output; in this case, the same part dimensions.
- **Bias:** Difference between the average of the population for a given dimension and the target value of that dimension.
- C_p : Process capability index that measures of the ability of a process to produce consistent results – the ratio between the permissible spread and the actual spread of a process. This does not take into account how well the output is centered on the target (nominal) value.
- C_{pk} : Process capability index that estimates what the process is capable of producing, considering that the process mean may not be centered between the specification limits. $C_{pk} < 0$ if the process mean falls outside of the specification limits.

